

Jefferson Mining District

The Date of November 30, 2015.

Joe Martori,
Rand Mining District,
c/o Sleepy Bear Mining, LLC,
25422 Trabuco Rd. Bldg 105, Ste. 486, Lake Forest, CA 92630.

Re: Final Findings: Propriety of actions of Joe Martori with regard to Rand mining district & MMAC.

To whom this may concern,

After initial inquiry¹ and followup courtesy notice² to Joe Martori, representing representative authoritative capacity of and for a Rand mining district³, failing to answer for questionable actions or claims though having the duty, the defaults to the Inquiries of which the Assembly relies in tacit acceptance and of the unrebuttable facts established derived through the provided notice and opportunities, and delay provided to date to respond, further elucidated by Jefferson Mining District Rejection Order⁴ and finding to finality in respect of the Inquiries, essentially that:

- 1) What part of the Federal Advisory Committee Act is not at the pleasure and discretion of the Lead Agency that Coordinating, 43 USC § 1712, is not the superior course of action?
- 2) What part of the A Desk Guide to Cooperating Agency Relationships and Coordination with Intergovernmental Partners does not explain that the Cooperating Agency status engaged does not prove that Cooperating Agency status is inferior to formally coordinating directly with an agency, as a government of a mining district has right and ought to?
- 3) What part of the Relation Back Doctrine to the Act of 1872, authorizes any delegated power or gives lawful force and effect to an otherwise arbitrary and capricious or any MMAC “outline”, limitations in establishment of mining districts, or as to the fabrication of eco-region “administrative mining districts” unknown and contrary to the law, tradition, custom, or culture of Miners?;

That pursuant to inquiry 1, Mr. Martori, and any other providing guidance and material support, knows Rand mining district is ill-served, even wrongfully so, by the current understanding of any

1 The Date of August 5, 2015, of Jefferson Mining District record.

2 The Date of September 12, 2015, of Jefferson Mining District record.

3 The recent ICMJ article by Scott Harn:

[http://www.icmj.com/article.php?id=3298&keywords=MMAC_&_PLP_Update_--
_Rand_Mining_District_Gets_Organized](http://www.icmj.com/article.php?id=3298&keywords=MMAC_&_PLP_Update_--_Rand_Mining_District_Gets_Organized)

4 Rejection Order of the MMAC offer of Jefferson Mining District record and publication:

http://www.jeffersonminingdistrict.com/MMAC_Offer_Rejection_Order.pdf

Principle advancing cooperating agency or similar Agency-serving discretionary status and contribution; Even being contrary to the representations made by Joe Martori in failing to controvert inquiry Number 2, evidencing Mr. Martori knows Cooperating Agency status is wholly inferior to direct Coordination, pursuant to 43 USC §1712, whether or not in fulfillment of the proper implementation of the purpose for the NEPA, 43 USC § 4321, *et seq.*; And that,

As relates to inquiry Number 3, the MMAC can have no authority, nor for any legitimate purpose respecting the General Mining Laws and the Relation Back Doctrine to the Act of 1872, amending, the continuing acknowledgment of miners' Power establishing mining districts, that the MMAC, Mr. Martori, and any one providing guidance and material support, possesses no authorization neither any delegated power to arbitrarily and capriciously, or otherwise, issue any mandatory "outline", or impose any limitations in establishment of mining districts or maintenance, or by reactivation, or over any mineral estate grantee; such limitations unknown and contrary to the law, tradition, custom, or culture of Miners, which power is solely in the grantees in the district, and inimical to the fulfillment of congressional purpose and objectives.

Most importantly therefore, is the fraudulent promotion of eco-region "administrative mining districts" under color of legitimate mining district power or under any or additional bureaucratic control of the MMAC, however established, by whatever artifice, or by any branch of government, or by Cooperating Agency, contrary to the assertions of Joe Martori, or any other providing guidance and material support, notwithstanding these harmful capitulations occurred even before the re-activation of the Rand mining district or participation of its Assembly.

As we have advocated in the first inquiry, instead of accepting the Felonious Facade under color of authority of the MMAC or any consequent or subsequent off-shoot bureaucracies, which proposed legislation is constrained to create, We ask the eventual Rand Mining District, as we do any other congressionally acknowledged mining district worth the ore in their cart, to commit to direct Coordination, already available, and proper knowledge and application of the mining law, to better protect its Assembly, instead of what applicable law identifies the MMAC being, a subversive federal agency collaborator which can only do harm to grantees, property possessors, and producers. That in this way mineral estate grantee's mining districts, without the bureaucratic overburden and servitude, will be better able to stand independently providing effective coordinated response to the threats against us.

Duly found this Final Finding of the Assembly in unanimous consent, of record, for publication.

Executed, November 30, 2015, by



Ron Gibson.

Interim-chairman elect, Office of Jefferson Mining District,
on the behalf and behest of its Assembly.

820 Crater Lake Ave., Suite 114, Medford, Oregon. 97504.

cc: Jefferson Mining District Recorder